

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,939	11/14/2003	Françoise Arnaud	244426US41DIV	7418
22850 7	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BAHTA, KIDEST	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>NEENMORE</i>	1		2125	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/706,939	ARNAUD ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kidest Bahta	2125	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (X) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ 1 3)□ 5	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 So</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	·	
Dispositio	n of Claims			
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-26 and 28-41 is/are pending in the algorithms and Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-26, 28-41 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Papers	wn from consideration.		
مال] ∓	he specification is objected to by the Examine	or.		
10)□ T 	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception and acception and request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) D Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-15, 18-26, 28-32 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Strevey et al. (U.S. Patent 6,035,305).

Regarding claims 1,11 and 29, Strevey discloses that means for describing a set of technical objects (Abstract; i.e., ...product information object), each technical object either representing a product function (Abstract; i.e., a product information object ...functional tests) or describing an implementation method for the product function, the set of technical objects representing manufacturing options of the industrial product (column 2, lines 35-40; i.e., the product information to produce a computer program that validates product selection options and configures a product is provided); means for storing and updating a definition of each technical object (abstract; i.e., Information pertaining to selected objects and their configuration is stored in a database. Changes can be made directly to the information stored in the database) and of its inter-relations with other of the technical objects in the product configuration (column 7, lines 34-42, column 9, lines 14-30) said definition comprising an expression of rules and constraints (Abstract); and means for data input (column 7, lines 1-3) and means for interactively and dynamically using said means for storing and updating during said configuration of

the product (column 3, lines 30-40; column 18, lines 35-43, column 19, lines 1-24; Fig. 11; i.e., A user creates a graphical representation of the product configuration options, and enters information pertaining to each of the graphical objects. The invention allows a user to create a Knowledge Map containing the product configuration quickly, efficiently, and accurately. Furthermore, modifications to the Knowledge Map representation are also made efficiently and accurately. The Knowledge Map provides a customer with a well organized description of the available options when determining a desired configuration of a complex product, such as a commercial aircraft).

Regarding claims 2 and 12 Strevey discloses that each technical object represents either a function of an aircraft **or** describes an implementation method of the aircraft function to create an aircraft configuration (column 3, lines 30-40).

Regarding claims 3, 4, 13, 14 and 21-26, 28, Strevey discloses wherein the knowledge management module is configured to manage technical objects each representing an aircraft function (abstract), wherein the contract management module is configured to manage fleet configurations of aircraft (Abstract; column 14, lines 35-40, Fig. 2. i.e., a computer base method of collecting, structuring and displaying product configuration information); wherein the administration module is configured to manage user profiles (Fig. 5A, Fig. 8 to Fig. 11), and wherein the mass management module is configured to manage mass of a customized configuration from data supplied from the contract management module (column 9, lines 1-14).

Regarding claims 5 and 15, Strevey discloses the industrial product is considered as a set of functions in a functional approach (Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,939

Art Unit: 2125

Regarding claims 8 and 18, Strevey discloses in the means for processing a functional oriented configuration is used that supplies a functional approach to directly select technical objects, specifying required properties of a functional characteristic (column 1, lines 41-61).

Regarding claims 9 and 19, Strevey discloses allows a contract manager to start a configuration checking process at any time (column 3, lines 12-40, i.e., the system automatically maintains and verifies predefined constraints regarding the creation of a Knowledge Map. This includes verifying that a hard called-out option identifier associated with a graphical product object on a Knowledge Map is not used twice).

Regarding claims 10, 20 and 30-32, Strevey discloses industrial product (fleet) is an aircraft and helicopters (it is inherent that the computer base system and method can implemented for a plurality of complex product such as aircraft, see abstract and, column 3, lines 22-40. Note: the aircraft is a machine or a device, such as airplane, helicopter or vehicle.), the fleet is an automobiles (it is inherit that the computer program implemented for aircraft can also applied to the automobile).

Regarding claims 34 and 35, Strevey discloses means for updating said fleet by adding or removing a vehicle and aircraft (column 7, lines 51-60); and means for managing a plurality of fleets and updating the plurality of fleets when a vehicle is transferred from one fleet to another fleet (column 7, lines 51-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7, 17, 33 and 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strevey (US 6,035,305) in view of Sandifer (US 2003/0187878).

Regarding claims 7, 17and 33, Strevey discloses the limitation of claims 1, 11, 29 and 30 but fails to disclose the limitation of claims 7, 17 and 33. However, Sandifer discloses the limitation of claims 7, 17 and 30 as follow: technical object oriented configuration is used in which the options and corresponding implementation methods are selected directly in a list sorted by ATA chapter the selection being made either individually globally using a global procedure that joins a possible application and a weight to a set of options in a same functional domain ([0019]; [0828]; [0838]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the teachings of strevey with the teachings of Sandifer in order to provide access to complex technical information employed to maintain and repair complicated equipment, such as aircraft, to enable compliance with regulatory requirements.

Regarding 36-41, Strevey discloses the list is further sorted by sales policy and job category (column 14, lines 35-40; column 20, lines 34-40).

5. Claims 6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strevey (US 6,035,305) in view of Hasiewicz et al. (US 2004/0243636).

Regarding claims 6 and 16, Strevey discloses the limitation of claims 1, 3, 11 and 13 but fails to disclose the limitation of claims 6 and 16. However, Hasiewicz discloses the limitation of claims 6 and 16 as follow: the contract management module works in disconnected mode ([0015]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the teachings of strevey with the teachings of Hasiweicz in order to execute alert generation and incident identification rules against the raw data.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 9/6/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Applicant amended and argues that Strevey fails to disclose a mass management module. However, Examiner disagrees since Strevey discloses (column 1, lines 55-61, i.e., number of passenger seat...; Fig. 7D, and Fig. 19, i.e., MAXIMUM LANDING WEIGHT, which shows Strevey discloses the way to control mass or weight ... of passenger).

Regarding claim 29, Applicant argues that Strevey fails to disclose **a plurality** of **sets** of technical objects, sets...representing manufacturing options for a vehicle in the fleet. Examiner disagrees since Strevey discloses grouping product options (abstract, i.e., since sets are representing manufacturing options ... fleet, Strevey's grouping

Application/Control Number: 10/706,939 Page 7

Art Unit: 2125

product or modules shows it is more than one product with more than one options it is not only one product or one options.)

Regarding claims 6-7, 17 and 33, Applicant sine amend the claims the new rejection been has made, see Par. 4 and 5.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Kidest Bahta whose telephone number is 571-272-3737. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,939

Art Unit: 2125

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAG system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-fee).

Kidest Bahta

October 31, 2005